#### Formal Verification of HILECOP.

A Process to Design and Implement Critical Digital Systems.

*PhD student*: Vincent lampietro<sup>1</sup>

#### *PhD supervisors*: David Andreu<sup>1,2</sup>, David Delahaye<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LIRMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France Firstname.Lastname@lirmm.fr

> <sup>2</sup>NEURINNOV, Montpellier, France David.Andreu@neurinnov.com

> > June 21, 2019

#### Context.

## CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS (CDS)?

## CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS (CDS)



# CRITICAL DIGITAL SYSTEMS (CDS)



Avionics

Medicine

Automotive

Critical Digital Systems: Design and Implementation.



#### Figure: A Meta-process to Design and Implement CDS.

#### HILECOP: A Process to Design and Implement CDS.



Figure: Workflow of the HILECOP Methodology, developed at INRIA (CAMIN Team) [1].

## Formal Methods for HILECOP.



Figure: Workflow of the HILECOP Methodology, developed at Inria (CAMIN Team) [1].

#### Verification of HILECOP.

- Ensure model correctness (analysis).
- Ensure behavior preservation through transformation.

## Formal Methods for HILECOP.



Figure: Workflow of the HILECOP Methodology, developed at Inria (CAMIN Team) [1].

#### Verification of HILECOP.

- Ensure model correctness (analysis).
- Ensure behavior preservation through transformation.



Figure: Workflow of the HILECOP Methodology.



Figure: Workflow of the HILECOP Methodology.



Figure: Part of the HILECOP workflow subject to verification.



Figure: Part of the HILECOP workflow subject to verification.

Goal. Proof of behavior preservation.



Figure: Part of the HILECOP workflow subject to verification.

#### Proof steps.

Inspired by *CompCert*, a formally verified C compiler [2], written with the Coq proof assistant [3]:



Figure: Part of the HILECOP workflow subject to verification.

#### Proof steps.

Inspired by *CompCert*, a formally verified C compiler [2], written with the Coq proof assistant [3]:

1. Model the semantics of the source language (i.e, Petri nets).



Figure: Part of the HILECOP workflow subject to verification.

#### Proof steps.

Inspired by *CompCert*, a formally verified C compiler [2], written with the Coq proof assistant [3]:

- 1. Model the semantics of the source language (i.e, Petri nets).
- 2. Model the semantics of the *target language* (i.e, VHDL).



Figure: Part of the HILECOP workflow subject to verification.

#### Proof steps.

Inspired by *CompCert*, a formally verified C compiler [2], written with the Coq proof assistant [3]:

- 1. Model the semantics of the source language (i.e, Petri nets).
- 2. Model the semantics of the *target language* (i.e, VHDL).
- 3. Implement the transformation and prove behavior preservation.

A Reminder on the Coq proof assistant.

#### About.

- Developed by INRIA and CNAM teams since 1984.
- ▶ 1984: first contribution by Thierry Coquand and Gérard Huet.
- 1991: Christine Paulin extends the language with the Calculus of Inductive Constructions.

#### Coq: A bird with two legs.

- Generic Functional Programming Language.
- Proof language.

#### Presentation of HILECOP Petri Nets.

The Petri Net (PN) Formalism.

- ► To model *dynamic systems*.
- Directed weighted graph.
- ► Places (≈ states or resources) and transitions (≈ events).



Figure: A request execution system modeled with a Petri net.

- Marking: current state of the system.
- Sensitization: a transition t is ready to be fired.



- Marking: current state of the system.
- Sensitization: a transition t is ready to be fired.

#### Transition firing.

• 
$$M = (P_0, 1), (P_1, 2), (P_2, 0)$$



- Marking: current state of the system.
- Sensitization: a transition t is ready to be fired.

#### Transition firing.

• 
$$M = (P_0, 1), (P_1, 2), (P_2, 0)$$

•  $T_0$  is sensitized  $\Rightarrow$   $T_0$  is fired.



- Marking: current state of the system.
- Sensitization: a transition t is ready to be fired.

#### Transition firing.

• 
$$M = (P_0, 1), (P_1, 2), (P_2, 0)$$

•  $T_0$  is sensitized  $\Rightarrow T_0$  is fired.

• 
$$M' = (P_0, 0), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 1)$$



## HILECOP High-Level Models.







Figure: An Example of HILECOP high-level model at the first stage of the workflow.

## HILECOP High-Level Models.



Figure: Component assembling in a HILECOP high-level model.

### HILECOP High-Level Models.



Figure: Flattened version of the model.

#### Remark.

The flattened model corresponds to the *implementation-ready* model, input of the model-to-text transformation.

## HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

HILECOP Petri Nets are:

- Synchronously executed (with priorities)
- generalized
- extended
- Interpreted
- ► Time
- with macroplaces
- Petri Nets

# HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

HILECOP Petri Nets are:

- Synchronously executed (with priorities)
- generalized
- extended
- Interpreted
- Time
- with macroplaces
- Petri Nets

We will only present Synchronously executed (with priorities), generalized, extended Petri Nets (SPNs).

#### Generalized and extended PNs.



Figure: An example of extended, generalized PN.

- Generalized: Edge weights  $\in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Extended: Inhibitor and test edges.

## Synchronously Executed PNs.





#### Synchronously Executed PNs.





#### Synchronously Executed PNs.





#### Conflicts and priorities.



Figure: An Example of Conflict (Structural and Effective).

#### Conflict types.

- Structural: T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> have P<sub>0</sub> as a common input place.
- Effective: the firing of  $T_0$  disables  $T_1$ , and conversely.

#### Conflicts and priorities.



Figure: An Example of Conflict (Structural and Effective).

Which transition will be fired?

#### Conflicts and priorities.



Figure: An Example of Conflict (Structural and Effective).

#### Which transition will be fired?

• If asynchronous execution:  $T_0$  or  $T_1$


Figure: An Example of Conflict (Structural and Effective).

#### Which transition will be fired?

- If asynchronous execution:  $T_0$  or  $T_1$
- If synchronous execution:  $T_0$  and  $T_1$



Figure: An Example of Conflict (Structural and Effective).

#### Which transition will be fired?

- If asynchronous execution:  $T_0$  or  $T_1$
- If synchronous execution:  $T_0$  and  $T_1$



Figure: Resolving conflicts with priorities.

Priority relation.  $T_0$  has a higher firing priority than  $T_1$ .



Figure: Determining priority groups in a PN.

## Formalizing HILECOP Petri Nets.

## Formal Definition of SPNs.

A synchronously executed, extended, and generalized Petri net with priorities is a tuple  $<P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, clock, \succ>$ where we have:

- 1.  $P = \{P_0, \ldots, P_n\}$  a set of places.
- 2.  $T = \{T_0, \ldots, T_n\}$  a set of transitions.

3. pre 
$$\in P \to T \to \mathbb{N}$$
.

- 4. *test*  $\in P \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- 5. inhib  $\in P \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- 6.  $post \in T \to P \to \mathbb{N}$ .
- 7.  $M_0 \in P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , the initial marking of the SPN.
- 8.  $clock \in \{\downarrow clock, \uparrow clock\}.$
- 9. ≻, the priority relation, which represents the firing priority between transitions of the same priority group.

## Implementation of SPNs in Coq.

```
1 Structure Spn : Set :=
      mk_Spn {
 2
          places : list Place;
 3
          transs : list Trans:
 4
          pre : Place \rightarrow Trans \rightarrow nat;
 5
          test : Place \rightarrow Trans \rightarrow nat:
 6
          inhib : Place \rightarrow Trans \rightarrow nat:
 7
          post : Trans \rightarrow Place \rightarrow nat;
 8
 9
          initial_marking : Place \rightarrow nat;
          priority_groups : list (list Trans);
10
11
          lneighbors : Trans \rightarrow Neighbors;
12
      }.
```

#### Figure: The Coq structure for SPNs.

## Definitions and Notations.

Remark.

The following definitions are given under the scope of a SPN  $<P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, clock, \succ>$ .

## Definitions and Notations.

#### Remark.

The following definitions are given under the scope of a SPN  $<P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, clock, \succ>$ .

### Definition (SPN state)

A SPN state is a couple (*Fired*, *M*) where  $M \in P \to \mathbb{N}$  is the current marking of SPN and *Fired*  $\subseteq T$  is a list of transitions.

## Definitions and Notations.

#### Remark.

The following definitions are given under the scope of a SPN  $<P, T, pre, test, inhib, post, M_0, clock, \succ>$ .

### Definition (SPN state)

A SPN state is a couple (*Fired*, *M*) where  $M \in P \to \mathbb{N}$  is the current marking of SPN and *Fired*  $\subseteq T$  is a list of transitions.

### Definition (Sensitization and Firability)

- A transition  $t \in sens(M)$ , if  $M \ge pre(t)$ , and  $M \ge test(t)$ , and M < inhib(t) or inhib(t) = 0.
- A transition t ∈ firable(s), where s = (Fired, M), if t ∈ sens(M).

## SPN Semantics.

## Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet  $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$  where:

## Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet  $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$  where:

► *S* is the set of states of the SPN.

## Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet  $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$  where:

- S is the set of states of the SPN.
- $s_0 = (\emptyset, M_0)$  is the initial state of the SPN.

### Definition (SPN Semantics)

The semantics of an SPN is represented by the triplet  $\langle S, s_0, \rightsquigarrow \rangle$  where:

- ► *S* is the set of states of the SPN.
- $s_0 = (\emptyset, M_0)$  is the initial state of the SPN.
- ▶  $\rightsquigarrow \subseteq S \times Clk \times S$  is the state changing relation, which is noted  $s \stackrel{clk}{\rightsquigarrow} s'$  where  $s, s' \in S$  and  $clk \in Clk$ .



Context. HILECOP PNs. Formalization. Token Player. Conclusion. Bibliography.



•  $s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\leadsto} s' = (Fired', M) \text{ if } \downarrow clock = 1 \text{ and:}$ 





► 
$$s = (Fired, M)^{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if  $\downarrow clock = 1$  and:

- **1** All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.:  $\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$
- 2 All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking, which is the marking resulting from the firing of all higher priority transitions, are fired, i.e:  $\forall t \in firable(s), t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$ where  $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}$ .



► 
$$s = (Fired, M)^{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$
 if  $\downarrow clock = 1$  and:

- **1** All transitions that are not firable are not fired, i.e.:  $\forall t \in T, t \notin firable(s) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$
- 2 All transitions both firable and sensitized by the residual marking, which is the marking resulting from the firing of all higher priority transitions, are fired, i.e:  $\forall t \in firable(s), t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$

where  $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}$ .

3 All firable transitions that are not sensitized by the residual marking are not fired, i.e.:

 $\forall t \in firable(s), t \notin sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \notin Fired'.$ 

$$orall t \in \textit{firable}(s), \ t \in \textit{sens}ig(M - \sum_{t_i \in \textit{Pr}(t)}\textit{pre}(t_i)ig) \Rightarrow t \in \textit{Fired'}, \ where \ \textit{Pr}(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in \textit{Fired'}\}$$

$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\sim} s' = (Fired', M)$$



Figure: At state s.

Context. HILECOP PNs. Formalization. Token Player. Conclusion. Bibliography.

$$\forall t \in firable(s), \ t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$$
  
where  $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}$ 

$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$
  

$$\blacktriangleright T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$



Figure: At state s.

$$\forall t \in \textit{firable}(s), \ t \in \textit{sens}(M - \sum_{t_i \in \textit{Pr}(t)} \textit{pre}(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in \textit{Fired'}, \\ \text{where } \textit{Pr}(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in \textit{Fired'}\}$$





Figure: At state s.

$$orall t \in \mathit{firable}(s), \ t \in \mathit{sens}ig(M - \sum_{t_i \in \mathit{Pr}(t)} \mathit{pre}(t_i)ig) \Rightarrow t \in \mathit{Fired'}, \ where \ \mathit{Pr}(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in \mathit{Fired'}\}$$



$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' = (Fired', M)$$
  

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$
  

$$T_2 \in Fired'?$$
  

$$M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$$

Figure: At state s.

$$\forall t \in \textit{firable}(s), \ t \in \textit{sens}(M - \sum_{t_i \in \textit{Pr}(t)} \textit{pre}(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in \textit{Fired'}, \\ \text{where } \textit{Pr}(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in \textit{Fired'}\}$$



 $s = (Fired, M) \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$   $T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$   $T_2 \in Fired'?$   $M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$ YES!

Figure: At state s.

$$orall t \in \mathit{firable}(s), \ t \in \mathit{sens}ig(M - \sum_{t_i \in \mathit{Pr}(t)} \mathit{pre}(t_i)ig) \Rightarrow t \in \mathit{Fired'}, \ where \ \mathit{Pr}(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in \mathit{Fired'}\}$$



Figure: At state s.

$$s = (Fired, M) \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$
  

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$
  

$$T_2 \in Fired'?$$
  

$$M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$$
  

$$YES!$$
  

$$M_R = (P_0, 1), T_2 \in sens(M_R)?$$

$$\forall t \in \textit{firable}(s), \ t \in \textit{sens}(M - \sum_{t_i \in \textit{Pr}(t)} \textit{pre}(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in \textit{Fired'}, \\ \text{where } \textit{Pr}(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in \textit{Fired'}\}$$



Figure: At state s.

$$s = (Fired, M) \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$$

$$T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$$

$$T_2 \in Fired'?$$

$$M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$$
YES!
$$M_R = (P_0, 1), T_2 \in sens(M_R)?$$
YES!

$$\forall t \in firable(s), \ t \in sens(M - \sum_{t_i \in Pr(t)} pre(t_i)) \Rightarrow t \in Fired',$$
  
where  $Pr(t) = \{t_i \mid t_i \succ t \land t_i \in Fired'\}$ 



Figure: At state s.

- $s = (Fired, M) \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s' = (Fired', M)$   $T_0, T_1 \in Fired'$   $T_2 \in Fired'?$   $M = (P_0, 3), T_2 \in firable(s)?$  YES!  $M_R = (P_0, 1), T_2 \in sens(M_R)?$  YES!
  - ► Then, according to rule 2 of SPN semantics: T<sub>2</sub> ∈ Fired'



• 
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\leadsto} s' = (Fired, M')$$
 if  $\uparrow clock = 1$  and:



• 
$$s = (Fired, M) \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\leadsto} s' = (Fired, M')$$
 if  $\uparrow clock = 1$  and:

4 
$$M'$$
 is the new marking resulting from the firing of all transitions contained in Fired, i.e.:  
 $M' = M - \sum_{t_i \in Fired} (pre(t_i) - post(t_i)).$ 

## SPN Semantics in Coq.

```
1 Inductive SpnSemantics (spn : Spn) (s s' : SpnState) : Clock \rightarrow Prop :=
     SpnSemantics_falling_edge :
 2
        (* Rules 1, 2 and 3 *)
 3
        \dots \rightarrow \text{SpnSemantics spn s s' falling_edge}
 4
     SpnSemantics rising edge :
 5
 6
        (* Ensures the consistency of spn. s and s'. *)
        <code>IsWellDefinedSpn spn 
ightarrow</code>
 7
 8
        <code>IsWellDefinedSpnState spn s</code> \rightarrow
       IsWellDefinedSpnState spn s' \rightarrow
 9
        (* Fired stays the same between state s and s'. *)
10
        s.(fired) = s'.(fired) \rightarrow
11
        (* Rule 4 of SPN semantics. *)
12
       (forall (p: Place) (n : nat),
13
14
            In (p, n) s.(marking) \rightarrow
            In (p, n - (pre_sum spn p s.(fired)) + (post_sum spn p s.(fired)))
15
               s'.(marking)) \rightarrow SpnSemantics spn s s' rising_edge.
16
```

#### Figure: The Semantics of SPNs in Coq.

Implementation of the SPN semantics rules.

- Implementation of the SPN semantics rules.
- Computes the evolution of a given SPN from initial state s<sub>0</sub> to state s<sub>n</sub>, where n is the number of evolution cycles.

- Implementation of the SPN semantics rules.
- Computes the evolution of a given SPN from initial state s<sub>0</sub> to state s<sub>n</sub>, where n is the number of evolution cycles.
- Unformal way to verify the SPN semantics.

## An Algorithm for one cycle of evolution.

Data: spn, an SPN. s, the state of spn at the beginning of the clock cycle. Result: A couple of SPN states, s' and s'', results of the evolution of spn from state s.

```
1 begin
          fired_transitions \leftarrow \Pi
2
          /* Phase 1, falling edge of the clock.
          foreach priority_group in spn.priority_groups do
3
                resid_m ← s.marking
 4
                foreach trans in priority_group do
 5
                       if is_firable(trans, s) and is_sensitized(trans, resid_m) then
 6
                              update_residual_marking(trans, resid_m)
 7
                              push_back(trans, fired_transitions)
 8
          s' \leftarrow make_state(fired_transitions, s.marking)
9
          /* Phase 2, rising edge of the clock.
          new_marking \leftarrow s'.marking
10
          foreach trans in fired transitions do
11
12
                update_marking_pre(trans. new_marking)
                update_marking_post(trans, new_marking)
13
          s'' \leftarrow make_state(s'.fired, new_marking)
14
          return (s', s")
15
```

#### Algorithm 1: cycle(spn, s)

\*/

\*/

## Execution on An Example.

Falling edge phase.



$$\label{eq:s} \begin{split} \mathbf{s} &= (\textit{fired},\textit{marking}) \text{ with } \mathbf{s}.\texttt{marking} = (P_0,2), \ (P_1,0), \ (P_2,0) \\ \texttt{priority\_groups} &= [ \ [T_0,T_1,T_2] \ ] \end{split}$$


priority\_groups = [ 
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$
 ]  
fired\_transitions = []



priority\_groups = [ 
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$
 ]  
fired\_transitions = []  
priority\_group =  $[T_0, T_1, T_2]$ 



fired\_transitions = []  
priority\_group = 
$$[T_0, T_1, T_2]$$
  
resid\_m =  $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$ 



fired\_transitions = []  
priority\_group = [
$$T_0, T_1, T_2$$
]  
resid\_m = ( $P_0, 2$ ), ( $P_1, 0$ ), ( $P_2, 0$ )



fired\_transitions = []  
priority\_group = [
$$T_0$$
,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ]  
resid\_m = ( $P_0$ , 2), ( $P_1$ , 0), ( $P_2$ , 0)



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [] \\ \texttt{priority\_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid\_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [T_0] \\ \texttt{priority\_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid\_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [T_0] \\ \texttt{priority\_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid\_m} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$



fired\_transitions = 
$$[T_0]$$
  
priority\_group =  $[T_0, T_1, T_2]$   
resid\_m =  $(P_0, 1), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$ 



fired\_transitions = 
$$[T_0]$$
  
priority\_group =  $[T_0, T_1, T_2]$   
resid\_m =  $(P_0, 0)$ ,  $(P_1, 0)$ ,  $(P_2, 0)$ 



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{priority\_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid\_m} = (P_0, 0), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{priority\_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid\_m} = (P_0, 0), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{priority\_group} = [T_0, T_1, T_2] \\ \texttt{resid\_m} = (P_0, 0), \ (P_1, 0), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Falling edge phase.



 $s' = ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)])$ 

Rising edge phase.



$$s' = ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)])$$
  
fired\_transitions = [T\_0, T\_1]

Context. HILECOP PNs. Formalization. Token Player. Conclusion. Bibliography.

Rising edge phase.



### fired\_transitions = $[T_0, T_1]$ new\_marking = $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Rising edge phase.



### fired\_transitions = $[T_0, T_1]$ new\_marking = $(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Rising edge phase.



### fired\_transitions = $[T_0, T_1]$ new\_marking = $(P_0, 1), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)$

Rising edge phase.



$$\begin{array}{l} \texttt{fired\_transitions} = [T_0, T_1] \\ \texttt{new\_marking} = (P_0, 1), \ (P_1, 1), \ (P_2, 0) \end{array}$$

Rising edge phase.



### fired\_transitions = $[T_0, T_1]$ new\_marking = $(P_0, 1)$ , $(P_1, 1)$ , $(P_2, 0)$

Rising edge phase.



### fired\_transitions = $[T_0, T_1]$ new\_marking = $(P_0, 0)$ , $(P_1, 1)$ , $(P_2, 0)$

Rising edge phase.



fired\_transitions = 
$$[T_0, T_1]$$
  
new\_marking =  $(P_0, 0)$ ,  $(P_1, 1)$ ,  $(P_2, 1)$ 

Rising edge phase.



s'' = ([ $T_0, T_1$ ], [( $P_0, 0$ ), ( $P_1, 1$ ), ( $P_2, 1$ )])

Rising edge phase.



$$\mathbf{s'} = ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 2), (P_1, 0), (P_2, 0)]) \\ \mathbf{s''} = ([T_0, T_1], [(P_0, 0), (P_1, 1), (P_2, 1)])$$

# Coq Implementation of the SPN Token Player.

```
1 Definition spn_cycle (spn : Spn) (starting_state : SpnState) :
       option (SpnState * SpnState) :=
 2
       (* Computes the transitions to be fired. *)
 3
       match spn_falling_edge spn starting_state with
 4
       | Some inter_state \Rightarrow
 5
         (* Updates the marking. *)
 6
         match spn_rising_edge spn inter_state with
 7
           Some final_state \Rightarrow Some (inter_state, final_state)
8
           None \Rightarrow None
 9
10
         end
         None \Rightarrow None
11
12
       end
```

Figure: The SPN Token Player Program in Coq.

# Coq Implementation of the SPN Token Player.

```
1 Definition spn_cycle (spn : Spn) (starting_state : SpnState) :
       option (SpnState * SpnState) :=
 2
       (* Computes the transitions to be fired. *)
 3
       match spn_falling_edge spn starting_state with
 4
       | Some inter_state \Rightarrow
 5
         (* Updates the marking. *)
 6
         match spn_rising_edge spn inter_state with
 7
           Some final_state \Rightarrow Some (inter_state, final_state)
8
           None \Rightarrow None
 9
10
         end
         None \Rightarrow None
11
12
       end
```

Figure: The SPN Token Player Program in Coq.

#### match checks the result of function calls.

# Coq Implementation of the SPN Token Player.

```
1 Definition spn_cycle (spn : Spn) (starting_state : SpnState) :
       option (SpnState * SpnState) :=
2
       (* Computes the transitions to be fired. *)
3
       match spn_falling_edge spn starting_state with
4
       | Some inter_state \Rightarrow
5
         (* Updates the marking. *)
6
         match spn_rising_edge spn inter_state with
7
           Some final_state \Rightarrow Some (inter_state, final_state)
8
           None \Rightarrow None
9
         end
10
         None \Rightarrow None
11
       end
12
```

Figure: The SPN Token Player Program in Coq.

match checks the result of function calls.
Functions return Some value or None (error case).

# Reminder on Correctness and Completeness.

Let X, Y be two types. Let  $P \in X \to Y$  be a program and  $S \in X \to Y \to \{\top, \bot\}$  be its specification. P takes  $x \in X$  as an input value and returns some  $y \in Y$ , S is a predicate that takes x and y as input values.

## Definition (Correctness)

A program P is said to be correct regarding its specification if  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y, P(x) = y \Rightarrow S(x, y)$ 

### Definition (Completeness)

A program P is said to be complete regarding its specification if  $\forall x \in X, y \in Y, S(x, y) \Rightarrow P(x) = y$ 

Correctness/Completeness of The SPN Token Player.

Theorem (Correctness)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s s' s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s' \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s''.$  Correctness/Completeness of The SPN Token Player.

## Theorem (Correctness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'') \Rightarrow s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s''.$ 

### Theorem (Completeness)

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \ (spn: Spn) \ (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), \ which \ are \ well-defined, \\ s \stackrel{\downarrow \ clock}{\longrightarrow} \ s' \stackrel{\uparrow \ clock}{\longrightarrow} \ s'' \Rightarrow \ spn\_cycle \ spn \ s \ = \ Some \ (s', \ s''). \end{array}$ 

Context.

- Formal verification of a model-to-text transformation from HILECOP PNs to VHDL.
- ▶ First step: model the semantics of HILECOP PNs (SITPNs).

#### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

#### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

### Doing.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

#### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

## Doing.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

## To Do.

### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

## Doing.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

## To Do.

 Handle asynchronous communication in a synchronous execution paradigm (GALS).
# Conclusion.

#### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

# Doing.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

# To Do.

- Handle asynchronous communication in a synchronous execution paradigm (GALS).
- Model VHDL semantics (at least a subpart).

# Conclusion.

#### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

# Doing.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

# To Do.

- Handle asynchronous communication in a synchronous execution paradigm (GALS).
- Model VHDL semantics (at least a subpart).
- Implement the model-to-text transformation.

# Conclusion.

#### Done.

Model the semantics of SPNs (subclass of HILECOP PNs).

# Doing.

Add time, interpretation and macroplaces to SPNs semantics.

# To Do.

- Handle asynchronous communication in a synchronous execution paradigm (GALS).
- Model VHDL semantics (at least a subpart).
- Implement the model-to-text transformation.
- Establish the proof of behavior preservation.

Bibliography.

# Bibliography.

D. Andreu, D. Guiraud, and S. Guillaume. A distributed architecture for activating the peripheral nervous system.

Journal of Neural Engineering, 6(2):18, Feb. 2009.

X. Leroy.

Formal Verification of a Realistic Compiler.

Communications of the ACM (CACM), 52(7):107–115, July 2009.

The Coq Development Team. Coq, version 8.9.0. Inria, Jan. 2019. http://coq.inria.fr/.

# Medical Implants: An Application of HILECOP.



Figure: A Schematic Representation of NEURINNOV's Implantable Neuroprotheses.

# HILECOP and CE Certification.

### CE Certification for Medical Devices.<sup>1</sup>

- European Law on Medical Devices (2017/745).
- To obtain the certification:
  - Tests on devices (technologic, clinical).
  - Tests on elements of the production chain.

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32017R0745

Theorem (Correctness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'') \Rightarrow s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s', \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s''.$ 

Theorem (Correctness)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s s' s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s''.$ Lemma (Falling Edge Correct)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_falling\_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s'.$ 

Theorem (Correctness)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s s' s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s', \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s''.$ Lemma (Falling Edge Correct)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_falling\_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s'.$ Falling Edge Correct Proof.

- Induction on the priority groups of spn.
- With the help of other lemmas:

is\_sensitized(t, M) ⇔ t ∈ sens(M)
is\_firable(t, s) ⇔ t ∈ firable(s)
spn\_falling\_edge computes a proper residual marking.
...

Theorem (Correctness)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s', \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s''.$ Lemma (Rising Edge Correct)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_rising\_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s'.$ 

Theorem (Correctness)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s s' s'' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_cycle \ spn \ s = Some \ (s', \ s'') \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\downarrow clock} s', \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s''.$ Lemma (Rising Edge Correct)  $\forall (spn : Spn) (s \ s' : SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $<math>spn\_rising\_edge \ spn \ s = Some \ s' \Rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\uparrow clock} s'.$ Rising Edge Correct Proof.

- Induction on the list of transitions to be fired of state s.
- With the help of other lemmas:

1 update\_marking\_pre(t, M) = Some M'  $\Leftrightarrow M' = M - \sum_{t_i \in Fired} pre(t_i)$ 2 update\_marking\_post(t, M) = Some M'  $\Leftrightarrow M' = M + \sum_{t_i \in Fired} post(t_i)$ 3 ...

#### Theorem (Completeness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s'' \Rightarrow spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'').$ 

#### Theorem (Completeness)

 $\forall (spn: Spn) (s s' s'': SpnState), which are well-defined,$  $s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \stackrel{\uparrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s'' \Rightarrow spn_cycle spn s = Some (s', s'').$ 

# Lemma (Falling Edge Complete)

$$\forall (spn: Spn) (s s': SpnState), which are well-defined,  $s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \Rightarrow spn_falling_edge spn s = Some s'.$$$

#### Theorem (Completeness)

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \ (spn: Spn) \ (s \ s' \ s'' : SpnState), \ which \ are \ well-defined, \\ s \stackrel{\downarrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s' \stackrel{\uparrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s'' \Rightarrow \ spn\_cycle \ spn \ s \ = \ Some \ (s', \ s''). \end{array}$ 

# Lemma (Falling Edge Complete)

$$\forall (spn: Spn) (s s': SpnState), which are well-defined,  $s \stackrel{\downarrow clock}{\rightsquigarrow} s' \Rightarrow spn_falling_edge spn s = Some s'.$$$

# Lemma (Rising Edge Complete)

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall \ (spn:Spn) \ (s \ s':SpnState), \ which \ are \ well-defined, \\ s \ \stackrel{\uparrow \ clock}{\rightsquigarrow} \ s' \Rightarrow \ spn\_rising\_edge \ spn \ s \ = \ Some \ s'. \end{array}$